UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,573	01/28/2005	Olaf Binkle	3294	1285
Walter A Hackl	7590 05/12/200 er	EXAMINER		
Patent Law Offi		FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P		
2372 S E Bristol Street Suite B Newport Beach, CA 92660-0755			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/523,573	BINKLE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	William P. Fletcher III	1792		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will by stated and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will. Set and the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will.	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be liod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frou tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ T 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-25 and 27-29 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) ☐ Claim(s) 22 and 25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-21,23,24 and 27-29 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S rection is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/523,573 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment and remarks filed January 12, 2009, are noted with

appreciation.

2. Claims 19-25 and 27-29 remain pending.

Response to Arguments

3. The rejections under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in the prior Office

action, are withdrawn in view of the amendment.

4. Applicant's arguments filed January 12, 2009, have been fully considered but

they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that "The oxide particle size is not only

important as far as viscosity flowability and stability of the suspension, but it is crucial for

the solidification of the layer." The fact that applicant has recognized another advantage

which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the

basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See Ex parte

Obiaya, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985). Particle size may also be

important for the solidification of the layer, but that does not change the fact that it a

result-effective variable affecting viscosity and flowability. As no evidence of unexpected

results demonstrating the criticality of the claimed particle size, the arguments are not

persuasive. See MPEP 2144.05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can

be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,573 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

6. Claims 19-21, 23, 24, and 27-29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schenck et al. (US 6,576,330 B1).

A. These claims remain rejected for the reasons set forth in the prior Office action and as further explained above.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 22 and 25 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The reasons for allowance are the same as set forth in the prior Office action.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Sunday, 5:00 AM - 12:00 PM and Monday through Friday, 5:00 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,573 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

May 11, 2009